







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/269,618	07/21/1999	RICHARD BILLINGSLEY	DYOUP0185US 1326	
7590 12/17/2003			EXAMINER	
DON W BULS	SON	REAGAN, JAMES A		
RENNER OTTO	O BOISSELLE & SKLAI	R		<u></u>
1621 EUCLID AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
19TH FLOOR			3621	
CLEVELAND, OH 44115			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action		09/269,618	BILLINGSLEY, RICHARD			
	à conservation	Examiner	Art Unit			
s.l		James A. Reagan	3621			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
There final r condi	REPLY FILED 17 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE fore, further action by the applicant is required to average in the second second of the second se	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a			
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
b) [Ex fee hav fee und (2) as s	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). In the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). In the statutory period for reply expire I only the statutory period of the	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) \boxtimes they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
()	issues for appeal; and/or	i better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
	NOTE:					
3.🖂	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject					
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the			
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
	Claim(s) allowed: 52 and 53.					
	Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-51,61-63,65-69,73 and 74</u> .					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10.	Other:		hn W. Hayes ARY EXAMINER			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments do not overcome the rejections of the remaining claims..